

Ohio Valley Internet2 Consortium conference call  
May 3, 2004

In attendance: Mark Faulkner, Larry Fox, Bill Gerwin, Liz Hart, Bob Hogle, Charles Morrow-Jones, Devon Phelps, Mimi Porter, Chet Ramey, Linda Roos, Paul Schopis, Greg Seibert

Mark Faulkner referred attendees to his email regarding the various budget issues that were considered by the subcommittee of Mark Faulkner, Greg Seibert and Mimi Porter.

1. Can it be documented that members and SEGP's utilize the 10% allocation of support center and operations to the Internet2 budget?

Committee action: Recommend accepting the 10% allocation for this year. If we want more detailed analysis for FY06 budget, then we need to communicate requested metrics to OARnet so they can evaluate the reporting costs involved.

The committee accepted the recommendation of the subcommittee for a 10% allocation for this year.

Bob Hogle and Larry Fox volunteered to help OARnet determine what is actually possible with Remedy to obtain the desired Support Center metrics.

Note: This needs to be reviewed and a recommendation brought back to the group by the July 04 timeframe so tracking is in place for FY05. This information will also be relevant when reviewing SEGP pricing for FY06. Linda will coordinate with Bob and Larry.

2. Allocation of OC48, Qwest Port Charge, and Intrastate I2 Infrastructure backbone expenses.

Committee action: Recommend accepting a 20% allocation of these expenses to SEGP's using information from netflow. If we want a more detailed analysis, then we need to communicate requested metrics to OARnet so they can evaluate the reporting costs involved.

The committee accepted the subcommittee recommendation.

The committee requested that information regarding the gigapop overhead (multicast, IPv6) be included in future netflow data so that the Consortium can determine relative usage between regular members and SEGPs.

The Consortium will maintain the OC48 connection to Abilene.

3. Allocation of Support Center and Operations expenses.

Committee action: Recommend accepting a 40% allocation of these expenses to SEGP's. If a more detailed analysis is desired, then the need requested metrics should be communicated to OARnet so they can evaluate the reporting costs involved.

The committee accepted the subcommittee recommendation.

4. OSC joining as an Ohio Internet2 Affiliate member or creating a new category to accommodate membership, as of July 1, 2004.

Committee action: Please review current guidelines for Ohio Internet2 Affiliate members (attachment internet2 options.xls). Subcommittee felt we needed to discuss this as a group because current guidelines charge affiliate members similar to a SEGP and they also have no voting rights. Do we adjust the affiliate membership or create a new category?

Greg Seibert made a motion to create another subcategory within the affiliate membership class with the following rights and responsibilities as outlined and defined by Ohio Valley Internet2 Consortium:

- affiliate member fees are the same as full member rate
- able to vote on Consortium issues
- expected to serve on Consortium committees
- not eligible to serve as an officer of the Consortium

Chuck Morrow-Jones seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The spreadsheet on the website will be updated with this new information.

5. Budget Discussion (Items for consideration)

Committee action: Should the SEGPs be cost recovery or revenue generating? (Depending on this decision, what do we do with the projected FY04 and 05 overages?)

Committee action: Do we include the \$40K equipment costs in the budget? If so, where do we allocate this cost?

The committee deferred this decision and chose not to include it in the FY05 budget. On a separate note, can we survey institutions who are not SEGP to determine interest level? This would seem to be an important data point for determining if we need to support this expense.

Committee action: How do we treat the request from OSC to connect to Abilene at high bandwidth level from a financial and membership perspective?

This is addressed in item 4.

The SEGP rates will remain the same for FY05. That is, \$5000/yr for a T1 and \$5000 + \$10,000/6mbps/yr for a DS3.

Mark will announce this at the May meeting and convene a subcommittee to look at SEGP rates for FY06 to be announced at the October meeting.

Greg Seibert made a motion to:

- defer any decision regarding any overage in the Internet2 budget until the end of FY04
- keep SEGP fees the same for FY05
- accept member fees (\$61,658.17) as indicated in the budget with 11 members (including Springfield)

Bob Hogle seconded the motion. It was approved unanimously.

Larry Fox made a motion to adjourn. Bob Hogle seconded the motion. It was approved unanimously.